



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,972	10/29/2003	Michal Jacovi	IL920030021US1	7990
7590	07/09/2008		EXAMINER	
Stephen C. Kaufman IBM Corporation Intellectual Property Law Dept. P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			CHAUHAN, LOREN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/695,972	JACOVI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Loren Chauhan	2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Loren Chauhan. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Heidi Brun (Reg. No. 34,504). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 15 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ruths.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner asked applicant's representative to further amend claim 15 to clarify the invention and provided several references that teaches applicant's claimed invention. Also, examiner provided explanation for 112 2<sup>nd</sup> on claims 16-18 and asked to clarify those claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./  
SPE, AU 2193

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required